

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA

BASF CORPORATION,

Plaintiff(s),

v.

AUTOMALL AUTO BODY, LLC, et al.,

Defendant(s).

Case No.: 2:20-cv-01080-RFB-NJK

Order

[Docket No. 19]

On September 29, 2020, Plaintiff filed a notice of Rule 26(f) conference. Docket No. 19. Discovery-related documents must be served on opposing counsel, not filed on the docket unless ordered by the Court. *See* Local Rule 26-8; Fed. R. Civ. P. 5(d)(1).¹ No such order has been entered in this case. Accordingly, the Court **STRIKES** the above-referenced document, and instructs the parties to refrain from filing discovery documents on the docket in the future absent a Court order that they do so.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: September 30, 2020



Nancy J. Koppe
United States Magistrate Judge

¹ *See also* Fed. R. Civ. P. 45(a)(4) (notice of intent to serve a subpoena “must be served on each party” (emphasis added)).